

**Notice of Allowability**

Application No.

09/808,312

Examiner

Michael N. Opsasnick

Applicant(s)

DELIGNE ET AL.

Art Unit

2626

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to amendment filed July 18, 2007.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,9,17.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of the:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.

**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
- (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
- 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
7. ☐ Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. ☒ Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. ☐ Other \_\_\_\_\_

MICHAEL OPSASNICK  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

*MA* *AUG 26*

## DETAILED ACTION

### 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1,9,17 overcome 35 U.S.C. 101 because the final result is an output improved speech signal ("to produce a final speech signal"). According to the most recent interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 101,

[4/14/07"Clarification of Interim Guidelines For Examination of Patent Applications for Subject Matter Eligibility", (Focus on Result, - A practical application in this context can be the result itself, and does not require that steps or additional limitations be added to the claim. As stated in *State Street Bank & Trust Co. v. Signature Financial Group, Inc.*, 149 F.3d 1368, 1373, 47 USPQ2d 1596, 1601 (Fed. Cir. 1998):

Today, we hold that the transformation of data, representing discrete dollar amounts, by a machine through a series of mathematical calculations into a final share price, constitutes a practical application of a mathematical algorithm, formula, or calculation, because it produces "a useful, concrete and tangible result"-- a final share price momentarily fixed for recording and reporting purposes and even accepted and relied upon by regulatory authorities and in subsequent trades.

It is the result that should be the focus. If the result has a real world practical application/use, then the test has been satisfied. The claim need not include the uses to which the result is ultimately put, just the result itself. Another example would be an improved method for measuring blood sugar levels in human beings. In this example, the end result is the blood sugar level which is a practical application for diagnostic purposes. Accordingly, reciting the improved method, and the result it achieves---the measurement of the blood sugar level---is all that is necessary for patent-eligibility. The diagnostic steps that occur after the determination of

Art Unit: 2626

the blood sugar level need not necessarily be present in the claims in order for the claims to be statutory.]

Claim 17 overcomes 35 U.S.C. 101 because of the functionality established between the claimed “program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions” and being “executable by the machine to perform method steps”.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

3. Claims 1,9,17 are allowed over the prior art of record.

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

With respect to independent claims 1,8, and 17, Weinstein et al discloses a first input medium that is adapted to obtain the initial speech signal in an environment where noise corresponding to at least one interfering signal is present. Weinstein et al describes that the first signal detects the speech signal with some noise and the second signal, the interfering signal, consists of noise and some speech signal where the coupling of the signals are due to the unknown acoustic room environment (col. 5, lines 26-29). The Weinstein reference does not disclose or teach that the normalizing arrangement is adapted to apply a compensation term via assessing its expectation value over a plurality of codeword in the codebook. Flanagan et al (5737485) discloses an apparatus, program, and method for compensating for interference in a speech recognition system comprising of a first input medium which obtain an initial speech signal, a second input medium which obtains at least one interfering signal, wherein said on interfering signal not be statistically independent of said initial speech signal (Flanagan et al (5737485) teaches extracting features from speech, separated from environment noise – col. 3

Art Unit: 2626

lines 65), a normalizing arrangement (as compensating for the environmental variations – col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 4) which reconciles the initial speech signal and at least one interfering signal with one another to produce a final speech signal and the normalizing arrangement being adapted to account for non-stationary noise in at least one interfering signal (and normalization with the other signals within the microphone array to separate the noise -- col. 5 lines 5-27).

Flanagan et al (5737485) also teaches the normalizing arrangement utilizing a multi-channel codeword dependent cepstral normalization (col. 6 lines 38-48). However, none of the prior art of record explicitly teaches the claim limitations as noted above. Furthermore, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of the prior art to obtain the recited claim limitations of the independent claims noted above.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MICHAEL OPSASNICK**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
mno

AU2626  
08/10/07